Comparisons of Job Characteristics

Focus Occupation: Judges, Magistrate Judges, and Magistrates (23-1023)
Associated Occupation: Administrative Law Judges, Adjudicators, and Hearing Officers (23-1021)

Compare Knowledge
Compare Skills
Compare Abilities
Compare Detailed Work Activities
Compare Tools and Technologies

<<	Focus occupation element is much lower
<	Focus occupation element is lower
0	Focus occupation element is at a similar level
>	Focus occupation element is at a higher level
>>	Focus occupation element is at a much higher level

Knowledge

Similarity of Focus Occupation to Associated Occupation: 80

Focus Occupation: Judges, Magistrate Judges, and Magistrates (23-1023)

Associated Occupation: Administrative Law Judges, Adjudicators, and Hearing Officers (23-1021)

Associated Occupation's Key Knowledge Elements	Average Rating, All Occupations		Focus Occupation's Rating		Evaluation of Focus Occupation
Law and Government	5.9	18.2	22.9	>>	Current knowledge level is likely more than sufficient
Customer and Personal Service	11.3	16.4	14.8	<	Expanded education and/or training may be required
English Language	11.2	15.8	22.7	>>	Current knowledge level is likely more than sufficient
Psychology	6.4	13.3	15.2	>	Current knowledge level is likely sufficient
Clerical	7.3	10.9	7.2	<<	Extensive education and/or training may be required
Medicine and Dentistry	3.7	9.7	4.9	<<	Extensive education and/or training may be required
Therapy and Counseling	3.8	8.0	11.6	>>	Current knowledge level is likely more than sufficient

The maximum possible rating is 25.

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section analysis of O*NET (Occupation Information Network) data.

Skills

Similarity of Focus Occupation to Associated Occupation: 98

Focus Occupation: Judges, Magistrate Judges, and Magistrates (23-1023)
Associated Occupation: Administrative Law Judges, Adjudicators, and Hearing Officers (23-1021)

Average Rating, All Occupations	Associated Occupation's Rating	Focus Occupation's Rating	Evaluation of Focus Occupation	
11.0	17.7	21.7	>>	Skill level is likely more than sufficient
10.8	16.8	20.8	>>	Skill level is likely more than sufficient
10.7	16.2	16.5	0	Current skill level may be sufficient
10.8	14.6	19.9	>>	Skill level is likely more than sufficient
	Rating, All Occupations 11.0 10.8 10.7 10.8	Rating, All Occupation's Nating Occupation's Nating 11.0 17.7 10.8 16.8 10.7 16.2 10.8 14.6	Rating, All Occupation's Number of Occupations Occupation's Rating Occupation's Rating 11.0 17.7 21.7 10.8 16.8 20.8 10.7 16.2 16.5 10.8 14.6 19.9	Rating, All Occupation's Nating Occupation's Rating Occupation's Rating 11.0 17.7 21.7 >> 10.8 16.8 20.8 >> 10.7 16.2 16.5 0 10.8 14.6 19.9 >>

Judgment and Decision Making	9.4	13.3	18.7	Skill level is likely more than sufficient
Writing	9.2	13.1	15.5	Skill level is likely sufficient
Active Learning	8.7	12.6	15.2	Skill level is likely sufficient
Complex Problem Solving	9.1	12.0	16.0	Skill level is likely more than sufficient
Negotiation	6.8	10.3	13.4	Skill level is likely more than sufficient
Operations Analysis	5.0	9.0	7.9	A higher skill level may be required

The maximum possible rating is 25.

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section analysis of O^*NET (Occupation Information Network) data.

Abilities

Similarity of Focus Occupation to Associated Occupation: 97

Focus Occupation: Judges, Magistrate Judges, and Magistrates (23-1023)
Associated Occupation: Administrative Law Judges, Adjudicators, and Hearing Officers (23-1021)

Associated Occupation's Key Abilities Elements	Average Rating, All Occupations	Associated Occupation's Rating	Focus Occupation's Rating		Evaluation of Focus Occupation	
Written Comprehension	11.0	16.2	17.2	0	Current ability level may be sufficient	
Oral Comprehension	12.5	15.7	19.2	>>	Current ability level is likely more than sufficient	
Speech Clarity	10.2	15.6	13.6	<	Some improvement in abilities may be required	
Oral Expression	12.4	15.4	18.2	>	Current ability level is likely sufficient	
Deductive Reasoning	10.6	15.1	18.2	>	Current ability level is likely sufficient	
Inductive Reasoning	10.2	14.8	17.3	>	Current ability level is likely sufficient	
Near Vision	11.1	13.9	13.4	0	Current ability level may be sufficient	
Written Expression	9.8	13.4	15.5	>	Current ability level is likely sufficient	
Information Ordering	9.9	12.1	10.3	<	Some improvement in abilities may be required	
Selective Attention	8.7	10.5	9.7	0	Current ability level may be sufficient	

The maximum possible rating is 25.

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section analysis of O*NET (Occupation Information Network) data.

Activities that Both Occupations Have in Common

Similarity of Focus
Occupation to Associated
Occupation: 100

Focus Occupation: Judges, Magistrate Judges, and Magistrates (23-1023)
Associated Occupation: Administrative Law Judges, Adjudicators, and Hearing Officers (23-1021)

Work Activities	Exclusivity of Activity
Analyze data to discover facts in case	85
Analyze existing evidence or facts	69
Analyze legal questions	85
Apply court rules or strategy	95
Apply legal concepts to medical reports or documents	92
Communicate technical information	4

Conduct legal hearings	92
Determine liability	92
Determine whether evidence is admissible	99
Follow confidentiality procedures	58
Follow court operation procedures	99
Follow professional ethics principles in law practice	92
Follow rules of evidence procedures in legal setting	84
Judge criminal or civil cases	99
Make presentations	13
Organize legal information or records	80
Understand legal terminology	81
Use administrative law	92
Use case logic or precedent	92
Use conflict resolution techniques	56
Use knowledge of judicial system	95
Use knowledge of legal procedural rules	85
Use public speaking techniques	13
Use research methodology procedures in legal cases or issues	89
Write legal correspondence	85
Write legal documents	85

Not all positions in these occupations will necessarily perform all of the listed activities. The exclusivity rating is an indication of how unique the activity is amongst all occupations. The maximum rating is 100. High scores indicate that only a small number of occupations engage in that activity.

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section analysis of O*NET (Occupation Information Network) data.

Tools and Technologies that Both Occupations Have in Common

Similarity of Focus
Occupation to Associated
Occupation: n/a

Focus Occupation: Judges, Magistrate Judges, and Magistrates (23-1023)
Associated Occupation: Administrative Law Judges, Adjudicators, and Hearing Officers (23-1021)

Tools and Technologies

Exclusivity

Tools and technology data is unavailable for one or both occupations.

Not all positions in these occupations will necessarily use all of the listed tools and technologies. The exclusivity rating is an indication of how unique the tool or technology is amongst all occupations. The maximum rating is 100. High scores indicate that only a small number of occupations use that tool or technology.

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section analysis of O*NET (Occupation Information Network) data.